1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	* * *	
7	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,	Case No. 2:17-cv-0678-RFB-VCF
8	Plaintiff,	
9	V	ORDER
10	V.	
11	RANDY BLIZZARD, in his individual capacity and as Trustee of the JOEL 228	
12	TRUST; the JAMES PAUL GETTY	
	IRREVOCABLE RESOURCE TRUST; the ANTHONY F. JOHNSON IRREVOCABLE	
13	RESOURCE TRUST; the STEVEN J.	
14	CASTELLAN IRREVOCABLE RESOURCE TRUST; the BRIAN PHILIP ANDERSON	
15	IRREVOCABLE RESOURCE TRUST; the	
16	PHILIP STEVEN IRREVOCABLE	
17	RESOURCE TRUST; HOLLYWOOD RANCH HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, a	
	Nevada non-profit corporation; CYNTHIA	
18	GIBSON, an individual,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21	RANDY BLIZZARD, in his individual	
22	capacity and as Trustee of the JOEL 228	
23	TRUST; the JAMES PAUL GETTY IRREVOCABLE RESOURCE TRUST; the	
	ANTHONY F. JOHNSON IRREVOCABLE	
24	RESOURCE TRUST; the STEVEN J. CASTELLAN IRREVOCABLE	
25	RESOURCETRUST; the BRIAN PHILIP	
26	ANDERSON IRREVOCABLE RESOURCE TRUST; the PHILIP STEVEN	
27	IRREVOCABLE RESOURCE TRUST,	
28	Counterclaimants,	

v.

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.; CYNTHIA GIBSON, an individual; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1 through 10, inclusive; ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 10, inclusive.

Counter-Defendants.

On April 21, 2017, this Court certified a question of law regarding NRS 116's notice requirement to the Nevada Supreme Court in <u>Bank of N.Y. Mellon v. Star Hill Homeowners Ass'n</u>, Case No. 2:16-cv-02561-RFB-PAL, ECF No. 41. The Court finds that the outcome of that decision will impact the pending motions in this case. For reasons of judicial economy and to avoid inconsistent decisions, the Court will not consider any further motions until the parties have had the opportunity to receive and address the Nevada Supreme Court's opinion on this issue.

[IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this case is hereby STAYED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' [31] Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiff JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s [32] Motion for Summary Judgment are DENIED without prejudice. The moving party shall have 21 days from the date of the Nevada Supreme Court's decision on the certified question to file a modified Motion for Summary Judgment or to file a notice renewing the previously filed motion. The opposing party shall have 21 days to respond. The moving party shall have 14 days to reply.

The opposing party shall have 21 days to respond. The moving party shall have 14 days to reply.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other pending motions are DENIED without prejudice.

DATED this 12th day of July, 2018.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRCIT JUDGE